## Ted lisot A i Soit o M. loists ot us oil kis

ROBERT S. SKES,\* WILLIAM L. GANNON, AND THE ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE<sup>1</sup> OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MAMMALOGISTS

University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Basic Animal Services Unit and Department of Biology, 2801 South University Avenue, Little Rock, AR 72204, USA (RSS)

Department of Biology and Office of Animal Care, Research Ethics and Compliance Services, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA (WLG)

<sup>1</sup> This committee included Darrin S. Carroll, Centers for Disease Control; Brent J. Danielson, Iowa State University; Jerry W. Dragoo, University of New Mexico; Michael R. Gannon, Pennsylvania State University, Altoona College; William L. Gannon, University of New Mexico; David W. Hale, United States Air Force Academy; Christy McCain, University of Colorado; Daniel K. Odell, Hubbs–SeaWorld Research Institute; Link E. Olson, University of Alaska; Sarah Ressing, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale; Robert S. Sikes (Chair), University of Arkansas at Little Rock; Robert M. Timm, University of Kansas; Stephanie A. Trewhitt, San Jose State University; and Janet E. Whaley, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

\* Correspondent: rssikes@ualr.edu

Guidelines for use of wild mammal species are updated from the American Society of Mammalogists (ASM) 2007 publication. These revised guidelines cover current professional techniques and regulations involving mammals used in research and teaching. They incorporate additional resources, summaries of procedures, and reporting requirements not contained in earlier publications. Included are details on marking, housing, trapping, and collecting mammals. It is recommended that institutional animal care and use committees (IACUCs), regulatory agencies, and investigators use these guidelines as a resource for protocols involving wild mammals. These guidelines were prepared and approved by the ASM, working with experienced professional veterinarians and IACUCs, whose collective expertise provides a broad and comprehensive understanding of the biology of nondomesticated mammals in their natural environments. The most current version of these guidelines and any subsequent modifications are available at the ASM Animal Care and Use Committee page of the ASM Web site (http://mammalsociety.org/committees/index.asp).

Key words: animal capture, animal care, animal housing, animal marking, animal use ethics, federal regulation, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, trapping

E 2011 American Society of Mammalogists DOI: 10.1644/10-MAMM-F-355.1

## ORFAN, AT ON OF TUDE NES

	. 236
General Guidelines	. 237
Fieldwork with Mammals	. 237
Compliance with Laws and Regulations	. 237
Categorization of Animal Use for USDA Compliance	. 238
Numbers and Species (Including Endangered Taxa).	. 240
TRAPPING TECHNIQUES	. 241
Oversight of Field Studies	. 241
Considerations for Capturing Mammals	. 241

www.mammalogy.org

Live Capture	241
Kill-trapping and Shooting	243
Marine Mammals	244
TISSUE SAMPLING AND IDENTIFICATION	244
Tissue Sampling	244
External Marks	245
Internal Tags	246
Chemical Immobilization for Application of Marks and Tissue Sampling	246
MAINTENANCE OF WILD -CAUGHT MAMMALS IN CAPTIVITY	246
Procurement and Holding Conditions	246
Maintenance Environments	247
Separation of Taxa and Minimizing Stress	248
Release of Captive Mammals	248
Euthanasia	249
Vouchering of Specimens and Ancillary Material	250
HUMAN SAFETY	250
Summary	250
Resumen	251
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	251
LITERATURE CITED	251

Advances in the study of mammals, from exploring the vessel, vehicle, or source of tissue for the drug study or physiological functions to understanding evolutionary rela-neuroscience investigation. In contrast, field researchers tionships and developing management strategies, are predicated on responsible use of mammals in research. Founded in study, and variation among individuals and natural April 1919, the American Society of Mammalogists (ASM) behaviors are of fundamental interest and importance. has long been concerned with the welfare of mammals, and injuidelines for animal protocols have become more important particular, natural communities. In 1928 one of the founders of with increasing use of native animal models in research. The the ASM, Joseph Grinnell, instructed administrators of Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) within Yosemite National Park to maintain the park as a natural the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) unit has mammalian community without unnecessary or destructive amended the Animal Welfare Act (AWA-USDA 2005; development. Grinnell (1928:76) described various managehttp://www.access.gpo.gov/uscode/title7/chapter54.html) ment tactics for park managers to follow, but in particular heoversee field studies, which are defined as studies conducted advised that to address an unwanted increase in the bean free-living wild animals in their natural habitat. population, park officials needed to "devise [some] means The ASM publicationGuidelines for the Use of Animals in whereby troublesome individual bears could be discourage Research (ad hoc Committee for Animal Care Guidelines from raiding food-stores, without doing them serious bodily 1985) was the 1st effort to codify the expertise and philosophy harm. But I recommend that exceeding care be taken in such experienced, professional mammalogists on use of procedure, not to rouse, unnecessarily, adverse public opiniomammals in research. This single-page statement broadly and not to drive away the bears altogether, for they constitute listed considerations, such as concern for number of animals particularly valuable element in the native animal life of the used, and highlighted laws that regulated use of animals valley." Thus, Grinnell made informed management recom-(including Convention on International Trade in Endangered mendations and also advocated animal care and use with pecies). It stated that the investigator should exercise good sensitivity toward public opinion. The same is true todayjudgment and prudence when using animals in research. More because mammalogists care deeply about the sentieromplete guidelines were published by the ASM in 1987 with organisms they study. Acceptable Field Methods in MammalogyPreliminary

Differences between medical research and basic research or uidelines Approved by the American Society of Mammalomammals frequently pose problems for field researchergists (ad hoc Committee on Acceptable Field Methods in because regulations developed for laboratory environments and domesticated taxa are increasingly and inappropriately extrapolated to the field and to wild taxa even though conditions and context are dissimilar. In medical research artificially selected, domesticated strains are used to reduce differences among individuals. In this research the mammalian

ation (AVMA 2007) AVMA Guidelines on Euthanaşiaand various publications on trapping methods. In essence, earlier versions of the ASM guidelines provided highlights of more complete information available from either tlœuide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animal(shereinafterGuide— National Research Council [NRC] 1996) or the AWA; these were, minimize numbers taken, reduce pain or distress of captive animals, and provide humane euthanasia where death was the endpoint. An overview of the development of the ASM guidelines through their various iterations is provided in the 2007 publication (Gannon et al. 2007) and is not repeated here.

These newly revised guidelines are intended to provide investigators and those charged with evaluating animal use in research (institutional animal care and use committees [IACUCs], reviewers and editors of research manuscripts, management agency personnel, graduate committees, and the public) with up-to-date general and specific guidance on ethical care and use issues and health, safety, and environmental concerns particular to nondomesticated mammals. We emphasize that these guidelines are not intended to constrain ingenuity in meeting research demands but rather to bring relevant safety, regulatory, and ethical concerns regarding animal use to the attention of investigators. It is the responsibility of the principal investigator of a project to justify deviations from federal guidelines during submission of a protocol to an IACUC. Institutions have various requirements for animal use and care, but as scientists we have developed an ethos toward animal use. "Ethics" typically is defined as a study of moral values, that is, expectations about beliefs and behaviors by which we judge ourselves and others (Macrina 2005). All research procedures commonly used today must be considered and discussed by IACUCs as to whether they cause even momentary pain and distress.

This document was prepared and approved by the ASM, whose collective expertise provides a broad and comprehensive understanding of the biology of nondomesticated mammals in their natural environments. It is intended to be a resource for investigators, educators, and oversight bodies regarding use of wild mammals in research and teaching, particularly in those instances where difficulties might arise in defining w342.

setting. However, the USDA/APHIS does not regulate animals used for food or fiber (or for improving quality of food or fiber), or for improvement of animal nutrition, breeding, management, or production efficiency.

The United States Fish and Wildlife Service defines a mammal as any member of the class Mammalia, including any part, product, egg, or offspring, or the dead body or parts thereof (excluding fossils), whether or not included in a manufactured product or in a processed food product (Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare 2002a). In this context, "permit" is any document designated as a "permit," "license," "certificate," or any other document issued by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to authorize, limit, or describe an activity and signed by an authorized official of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Although the focus of this section is on federal and state regulations in the United States, investigators, regardless of their nationality or location of their research, should understand that local, state-provincial, federal-national, or international laws or regulations likely exist that pertain to scientific collecting, transport, possession, sale, purchase, barter, exportation, and importation of specimens or parts

disseminated as a position statement and addendum to the 2007 version of these guidelines in 2010. The portions of this joint position relevant to work with mammals are included here.]

Two aspects of animal usage classification can cause confusion where activities involving wild animals are concerned: classification of the capture of free-ranging animals within the USDA reporting categories of pain and distress; and identification of field studies for the purpose of determining when IACUC protocol review and IACUC site inspection are required.

United States Department of Agriculture reports: pain and distress categories. The AWA (7 USC 2143(b)(3)(A)) and the implementing regulation (9 CFR 2.36) require that

individuals. In the latter case the investigator can provide a statement that "all animals in the population will be captured, marked, and released, and it is estimated that this will not exceed 200 individuals/year." Genetic, taxonomic, ecological,

pitfalls, artificial burrows, and nest boxes), medium-sized to

immobilizing drugs. Baits laced with tranquilizer have been

used as kill traps should have covers or other means of excluding nontarget species. If the traps will not be operational for extended periods, they should be constructed such that the kill jar and its fluid can be removed to prevent unwanted captures. As with any procedure or experimental protocol, an IACUC might find submersion trapping systems, including pitfalls with drowning fluids for small mammals, acceptable with justification.

Investigators should strive to use the trap that will inflict the least trauma and result in a clean, effective kill. Most traps should be checked at least once a day, and in the event an animal is still alive, it should be immediately dispatched limit potential sites of blood collection. The size of the animal Metal or plastic tags and bands or collars are cost-effective also might restrict collection sites and limit the quantity of and might be suitable for identification at appreciable distance blood (1.5% of body mass) that can be removed. The on large terrestrial species. Tags typically are applied to the training and experience of the individuals performing theears of terrestrial mammals and to flippers of seals and sea procedure is important, because unskilled personnel can causens. Use of individually numbered tags on small mammals significant trauma with some techniques. The procedures fonecessitates handling the animal each time an individual is to blood collection and the qualifications of study personnel musbe identified. Although they frequently are used with a high be reviewed by the principal investigator's IACUC.

Obtaining blood from the facial vein. This technique, promote infection by parasites in some rodents (Ostfeld et al. which has been used on laboratory mice for many years 1996), although potential for infection likely varies with allows collection of 4–10 drops of blood with minimal species and environment. Further, unless carefully sized, tags discomfort to the animal (see USDA news release at www.arsmight snag, either during grooming or by vegetation in free-usda.gov/is/pr/2005/050921.htm). The procedure is described anging animals, and can be lost (Wood and Slade 1990). Ear (in text, photos, and video) at www.medipoint.com/html/ tags also might affect the Preyer reflex in free-ranging directions\_for\_use1.html. [Note: No endorsement of thisanimals. Many of the problems associated with ear tags are particular commercial product is intended by the ASM.]

Obtaining blood from the caudal vein Extracting blood especially useful for long-term identification. Ear tags are from the caudal vein is a relatively simple procedure thatnot an option for species with greatly reduced pinnae (e.g., involves the use of a needle (more difficult in small rodents) orshrews). Wing bands for bats should be applied so that they nicking of the caudal vein with a lancet. Alternatively, slide freely along the forelimb, which may necessitate cutting excising the distal 1–2 mm of the tail can yield a small amounta slit in the wing membrane in some cases. Another external of blood and can be used for DNA extraction.

Obtaining blood from the retro-orbital sinus.Retro-orbital necklace (Barclay and Bell 1988). bleeding should be used when less-invasive blood-collection Individuals of some taxa might be identified by unique methods have been considered and are not suitable. Tpatterns of ear punches (where a small amount of tissue is minimize the chances of damage to the eye, this techniquæmoved from external pinnae using some type of hole punch) should be performed by trained and experienced individualsor toe clips. Toe clipping involves removal of 1 or more digits The use of very short-acting anesthesia (e.g., isoflurane digenerally only 1 per foot) or terminal phalanges and provides sevoflurane) in a plastic bag will immobilize rodents in 15– a permanent identifying mark. These marking methods 20 s, thereby making the procedure safer for the rodent and the handler.

## **External Marks**

Individual identification of mammals is necessary for many types of studies, both in the laboratory and field. Identification marks can be natural (stripe pattern, color, or mane patterns) or those applied by the investigator. Of primary concern is the distance from which the animal must be identified. On large species cataloging natural variations in fur or whisker patterns (West and Packer 2002), or previously sustained injuries on body parts (such as to wing, ears, or flukes), often suffices for permanent identification at a distance.

Where naturally occurring identifying marks are not available, external dyes, freeze brands, or paint marks might provide the degree of longevity required. Dye marks on juveniles or subadults are of more limited duration because of rapid molting. Identification marks can be made with nontoxic hair dyes or paint. Care should be taken to ensure that substances used for external marks are nontoxic and otherwise do not alter the behavior of animals or subject them to increased predation. Freeze branding is an effective means of marking bats and other species, and marks might last several years (Sherwin et al. 2002). Tattooing and ear punches provide a permanent means of identification but require handling of individuals for individual recognition. these substances and consumption of the analgesic substances

used as holding or transport cages for short periods of time for appropriate species.

Captive mammals held for any length of time (2 h for USDA regulated species and 24 h for all others) must be provided with suitable sources of food and moisture. Food can be provided at the time of capture. For many small mammals, especially rodents, fruits or vegetables (e.g., grapes, celery, cabbage, lettuce, or slices of apple or potato) with high moisture content will suffice during transport or short periods of captivity until more-permanent housing, food, and water provisions can be provided. Water bottles generally should be avoided during transport because they will leak and dampen bedding.

Care must be taken in transporting captive animals to prevent their exposure to temperature extremes or precipitation, provide adequate ventilation, and keep them calm. Regardless of cage construction, the more quietly the animal can be maintained in appropriate caging, the better. Minimizing disturbance and placing transport cages in cool, darkened settings is best. In some instances these conditions can be achieved simply by placing a drape over the cage, provided air with liquid water provided in various containers or via lickable watering systems. However, kangaroo rate (domy) and

a case-by-case basis. Holding individuals of a given species

and impact of euthanasia techniques on data collection. Publications by the American College of Laboratory Animal Medicine (www.aclam.org/pdf/newsletter2005-12.pdf) provide appropriate directives on these topics. For collecting methods using kill traps it is important to recall the AVMA position that, although kill traps do not always render a rapid or stress-free death consistent with their criteria for euthanasia, situations exist when use of live traps and subsequent euthanasia are not possible or when it might be more stressful to the animals or dangerous to humans to use live traps as opposed to kill traps (AVMA 2007).

Finally, euthanasia must be performed with a conscious respect for its effect on other animals (including human observers). Fear in other animals can be triggered by distress vocalizations, fearful behavior, and release of odors and pheromones by a frightened animal (AVMA 2007). Thus, euthanasia should be done outside the perceptive range of other captive individuals.

accomplished in accordance with the regulations and intent othis in mind, the ultimate design of research objectives, and the the AWA and work with researchers and educators to developmethods and techniques to address those objectives, are the appropriate protocols. IACUCs must be strong advocates foresponsibility of the investigator. Guidelines can provide animal welfare and also animal use in research and education purrent information on ethical and regulatory standards, but especially when investigators provide clear justification for they cannot replace individual judgment. Moreover, it is the animal use and expertise upon which the IACUC can rely investigator who has the drive, ingenuity, and freedom to seek These interactions foster strong, positive, and professionatiovel and insightful advances in science. relationships between the IACUC and the investigator.

From initial design to completion of a study, investigators should exercise good judgment and prudence when using

**Resumen** 

Las pautas generales para el uso de especies défenas animals in research. IACUCs appreciate working with investigators who provide details of their research designs silvestres son actualizadas a partir de la previa versie la and goals. The "3 Rs" of Reducing the number of individuals Sociedad Americana de Mastozoolag(ASM) (Gannon et al. and goals. The "3 Rs" of Reducing the number of individuals 2007). Esta versino actualizada las tenicas profesionales mas without compromising statistical validity or biological signifactuales y reglamentaciones relacionadas al uso de ammiferos icance, Replacing "higher" animals with "lower" ones, and Refinements of techniques and care to minimize pain or investigacio y enseanza. Se incluyen recursos adiciodistress to animals (NRC 1996) are important goals for field nales, reśmenes de procedimientos y requisitos de informes distress to animals (NRC 1996) are important goals for field due, results are processing to processing the provide animals of the provide number of animals needed for a study might invalidate results investigadores usen estas guias al desarrollar protocolos de Therefore, a sufficient number of animals (i.e., the number trabajo con animales salvajes. Estas guias fueron preparadas y needed to meet research goals) must be clearly requested and aprobadas por la ASM cuya experiencia colectiva provee un justified. "Replacement" in mammals might be achieved by entendimiento amplio y comprensivo de la biolagde los using cell lines, voucher materials from previous studies, or mamíferos no domesticados en su ambiente natural. la viersio computer simulations where possible. Further, larger mammas recioente de estas pautas y todas las modificaciones mals usually are not collected in surveys or for genetic work subsequentes éstadisponibles en la pagina de la web del Rather, they can be subsampled by ear punch or hair combs, gomite

tissues might be requested from mammalian research collections where much of this material might already be archived as specimens. Other alternatives include using carcasses of species of interest (especially larger carnivores or ungulates) that have been trapped or hunted for other purposes. However, investigators are reminded that such sources may introduce undesirable biases associated with age, sex, or size. Finally, an example of "Refinement" might include using behavioral responses as indicators of social dominance rather than outcomes of physical combat.

Most field investigators already embrace the ethical treatment of animals because of their respect for nature and their dedication to study wild species. These guidelines were developed to assist investigators in maintaining compliance and understanding the evolving suite of regulations. How we view use of mammals in research does not differ much from that of Joseph Grinnell when he walked Yosemite Valley nearly 100 years ago. Knowledge of most aspects of mammalian biology has advanced, but we still struggle with a basic understanding of our place in nature. Mammalogists continue to explore the farthest reaches of the earth. In contrast, the public and even some scientists in other fields have become removed sufficiently from what is wild that we still must be prepared to answer the question "what good is it?" That is, we must be able to communicate to a broad audience the applied and theoretical values of research on wild mammals. Proactive consideration of humane treatment of study animals will help to prevent retroactive criticism of our ethics and the research itself. With

AMERICAN V

- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL. 1996. Guide for the care and use of Society FOR THE STUDY OF ANIMAL BEHAVIOUR. 2006. Guidelines for the laboratory animals. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL. 2003. Guidelines for the care and use of mammals in neuroscience and behavioral research. Nationastrathearn, S. M., J. S. Iotimer, G. B. Kolenosky, and W. M. Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.
- OFFICE OF LABORATORY ANIMAL WELFARE. 2002a. Public Health National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human human disturbance. Journal of Mammalogy 76:940-946. Services, Bethesda, Maryland.
- OFFICE OF LABORATORY ANIMAL WELFARE. 2002b. Institutional animal Maryland.
- OLFERT, E. D., B. M. CROSS AND A. A. MCWILLIAMS (EDS.). 1993. Vol. 1.
- O'SHEA, T. J., AND M. A. BOGAN (EDS.). 2003. Monitoring trends in bat WEST, P. M., AND C. PACKER. 2002. Sexual selection, temperature, and populations in the United States and territories: problems and the lion's mane. Science 297:1339-1343. prospectus. United States Geological Survey Information and WILKINSON, G. S., AND J. W. BRADBURY. 1988. Radio telemetry: Technology Report ITR 2003-0003:1-274.
- OSTFELD, R. S., M. C. MILLER, AND K. R. HAZLER. 1996. Causes and consequences of tick/x/odes scapulari)sburdens on white-footed mice (Peromyscus leucop)usJournal of Mammalogy 77:266-273. WILSON, D. E., F. R. (DLE, J. D. NCHOLS, R. RUDRAN, AND M. S.
- POWELL, R. A., AND G. PROULX. 2003. Trapping and marking criteria, techniques, and common sense. Institute for Laboratory Press, Washington, D.C. Animal Research Journal 44:259-276.
- ROTHMEYER, S. W., M. C. MCKINSTRY, AND S. H. ANDERSON 2002. Tail Wildlife Society Bulletin 30:425-429.
- RUSAK, B., AND I. ZUCKER. 1975. Biological rhythms and animal behavior. Annual Review of Psychology 26:137-171.
- SCHMINTZ, S. D. 2005. Capturing and handling wild animals. Pp. 239-285 in Techniques for wildlife investigations and management (C. E. Braun, ed.). Wildlife Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- SHERWIN, R. E., S. HAYMOND, D. STRICKLAN, AND R. OLSON. 2002. Freeze branding for permanently marking temperate bat species. Wildlife Society Bulletin 30:97-100.

- treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching. Animal Behaviour 71:245-253.
- LINTACK. 1984. An expanding break-away radio collar for black bear. Journal of Wildlife Management 48:939-942.
- Service policy on humane care and use of laboratory animalsTHOMAS, D. W. 1995. Hibernating bats are sensitive to nontactile UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. 2005. Animal Welfare
- Act and animal welfare regulations. Animal Welfare Act as of care and use committee guidebook. 2nd ed. National Institutes of November 1, 2005 as found in the United States Code, Title 7-Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, Agriculture, Chapter 54-Transportation, sale, and handling of certain animals, Sections 2131-2159. United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Guide to the care and use of experimental animals. 2nd edWALKER, W. A. 1975. Review of the live-capture fishery for smaller Canadian Council on Animal Care, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. cetaceans taken in southern California waters for public display. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 32:1197-1211.
  - techniques and analysis. Pp. 105-124 in Ecological and behavioral
    - methods for the study of bats (T. H. Kunz, ed.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.
- FOSTER (EDS.). 1996. Measuring and monitoring biological terrestrial mammals for research: integrating ethics, performance diversity: standard methods for mammals. Smithsonian Institution
- WILSON, D. E., AND D. M. REEDER (EDS.). 2005. Mammal species of the world: a taxonomic and geographic reference. 3rd ed. Johns attachment of modified ear-tag radio transmitters on beavers. Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland. Vols. 1 and 2.
  - WOOD, M. D., AND N. A. SLADE. 1990. Comparison of ear-tagging and toe-clipping in prairie voles, Microtus ochrogaster Journal of Mammalogy 71:252-255.
  - Submitted 29 October 2010. Accepted 30 October 2010.
  - Associate Editor was Ronald E. Barry.